DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

| Section 1A | | | NRCS Entry (Eligible: | Only YES X NO |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date of Report: 03/08 | /2006 | | Approved: | YES NO |
| DSR Number: 103-05- | 183K Project Number: La | teral 15A | Limited Resor | ity Number (from Section 4) 22 urce Area: YES NO |
| Sponsor Name: St. Tam | Section Sectio | on 1B Sponsor Info | ormation | |
| Address: PO Box 628 | | | | |
| City/State/Zip: Covingto | on, LA 70434 | | | |
| Telephone Number: (98 | 5) 898-2552 Fa | x: | | 12 |
| | Section 3 | 1C Site Location I | nformation | |
| County: St. Tammany | State: LA | Cor | ngressional Dist | riet: 01 |
| | Longitude DS: 89.75030 Se Longitude US: 89.74513 | ction: 9 Townsl | nip: 6S Range | : 10E |
| Drainage Name: W15 Ca | anal Lateral 15 A | Reach: | 2335 ft. | |
| Damage Description: De | ebris is impacting the capacity ar | nd drainage of chan | nel. Debris is me | ostly trees. Medium with complexities. |
| | | | | |
| | | tion 1D Site Evalu | | |
| | on must be YES in order to be el | | | |
| Site Eligibility | 111 | YES | S NO | Remarks |
| Damage was a result of | a natural disaster?* | | | |
| | ald be for runoff retardation or s | oil | | |
| erosion prevention?* | | ··· [\ | | |
| Threat to life and/or pro | pperty?* | V | | |
| Event caused a sudden i | impairment in the watershed?* | Statement Statem | | |
| Imminent threat was cre | eated by this event?** | | Marie and F | |
| For structural repairs, no | ot repaired twice within ten year | rs?** | | |
| Site Defensibility | | 20,500 20,500 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 | (1955) T. C. | |
| Economic, environment warrant action (Go to pa | al, and social documentation ad | equate to | | |
| Proposed action technic | ally viable? (Go to Page 9 ***) | | of the second | |
| Have all the appropriate sprogram and its possible | steps been taken to ensure that a effects? YES 📝 NO [| ll segments of the a | ffected populati | on have been informed of the EWP |
| | | | | |

^{*} Statutory

** Regulation

*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

| DSR NO: | 103-05-183K |
|---------|-------------|
|---------|-------------|

Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A: Remove and dispose of debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods and avoiding damage to property.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$_____

Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

tate CWP Program Manager

Date Reviewed:

Approved By:

State Conservationist

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

DSR NO: _______103-05-183K

Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

| 2A Resource | 2B Existing | 2C Alternative Designation | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Concerns | Condition | Proposed Action No Action Alternative | | | | | |
| | • | Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods avoiding damage to property . | | Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using both sides of the channel and yards for access. | | | |
| | | 2 | D Effects of Alternativ | /es | | | |
| Soil | | | | | | | |
| Bank Erosion | disturbed soils from bank, tree falls, and uprooting 20-T/ac | removal of debris will allow reveg. and reduce loss 5-T/ac | potential for further damage from debris 20-T/ac | reveg./reduce soil loss 5-T/ac | | | |
| Soil Quality | soil quality reduced | soil quality improved | soil quality reduced | soil quality improved | | | |
| Water | | | | | | | |
| - Tracoi | | | | | | | |
| DO | low DO from decreased water flow | remove debris/increase flow/ DO | blockage/low DO | remove debris/increase flow/E | | | |
| BOD | high BOD/debris decomposition | improved BOD by removing detritus | high BOD/decompostion | improved BOD/less detritus | | | |
| Overall Stream Health | no SVAP for ditch | no SVAP for ditch | no SVAP for ditch | no SVAP for ditch | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Air | | | | I | | | |
| PM - 10 | attainment | attainment | attainment | attainment | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Plant | | | | | | | |
| riparian plants | excess debris potential damage | debris removal will protect existing riparian buffer zone | excess debris potential damage | debris removal will protect existing riparian buffer zone | | | |
| aquatic plants | few due to poor water quality | increase water quality and aquatic plants | absent or few | increase water quality and aquatic plants | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Animal | | | | | | | |
| Aquatic habitat | impaired food web quality | quality increased/reduce BOD | quality reduced/high BOD | quality increased/reduce BOD | | | |
| Upland habitat | damaged due to debris | increase/low damage | slight increase with time | slight increase w/increased | | | |
| | | - | Sign increase with time | damage resulting from access | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | 1 | | | |
| Aesthetics | Poor due to clutter | improved by debris removal | Poor due to clutter | improved by debris removal | | | |
| Vector | Increased vector habitat due to standing water | Decrease vector habitat | Increased vector habitat due to standing water | Decrease vector habitat | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

DSR NO: _____

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

| Resource | Existing Condition | | Alternatives and Effects | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Consideration | Laisting Condition | Proposed Action | No Action | Alternative |
| Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S. | CWA Jurisdiction. No CWA permit will be needed if debris is left in channel. | improve water quality; CWA permit required | decrease water quality; blockage/clutter remains | improve water quality; short term increase in damage; CWA permit required |
| Coastal Zone Management Areas | Reach is located north of I-12 and no consistency authorization needed. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Coral Reefs Cultural Resources | None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader. | None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader. | None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader. | None observed on-site. Cross reference with state leader. |
| Endangered and Threatened Species | (FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish, no habitat or species noted. | (FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish. | (FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish. | (FOTG Section II) (Federal/State Lists) Species listed in parish. |
| Environmental Justice | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Essential Fish Habitat | N/A (Referenced with NOAA) | N/A (Referenced with NOAA) | N/A (Referenced with NOAA) | N/A (Referenced with NOAA) |
| Fish and Wildlife Coordination | State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal. | State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal. | State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required for debris removal. | State level review by project type with USFWS & LDWF; Consultation not required |
| Floodplain Management | Ditch is located in 100-year floodplain and function is impaired. | improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity. | 100-year floodplain function is impaired. | improved function to drainage channel by restoring pre-storm hydraulic capacity. |
| Invasive Species | Japanese Honeysuckle and japanese climbing vine noted in stream riparian zone. | No long-term effects. | Japanese Honeysuckle and japanese climbing vine noted in stream riparian zone. | No long-term effects. |
| Migratory Birds | Food and habitat reduced due to debris covering subcanopy habitat and riparian area. | Food and cover quality improved by debris removal. | Food and habitat reduced due to debris covering subcanopy habitat and riparian area. | Food and cover quality improved by debris removal. |
| Natural Areas | (FOTG) none identified | (FOTG) none identified | (FOTG) none identified | (FOTG) none identified |
| Prime and Unique Farmlands | (FOTG Sec. II) (Soil Survey) none present | (FOTG Sec. II) (Soil Survey) none present | (FOTG Sec. II) (Soil Survey) none present | (FOTG Sec. II) (Soil Survey) none present |
| Riparian Areas | Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology. | Rlparian area improved by debris removal; some temporary impacts resulting from access. | Riparian area impacted by debris accumulation and altered hydrology. | riparian area improved by debris removal; increased temporary impacts and damage resulting from access |
| Saania Dagutu | (FOTG) None present | (FOTG) None present | (FOTG) None present | (FOTG) None present |
| Scenic Beauty Wetlands | No wetlands associated with this ditch. | No wetlands associated with this ditch. | No wetlands associated with this ditch. | No wetlands associated with this ditch. |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers | (FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List: None listed | (FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List: None listed | (FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List: None listed | (FOTG) - LDWF Scenic Streams List: None listed |

Completed By: Richard McCright Date: _03/08/2006

DSR NO: _____

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

| This section must be completed by each uncernance | Future Damages (\$) | Damage Factor (%) | Near Term Damage |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Draw anti-sa Drata etc. d (Drivesta) | | | Reduction |
| Properties Protected (Private) | 0.500.000.00 | | |
| 10 houses at \$250,000 | 2,500,000.00 | 25 | 625,000.00 |
| 4 houses @ \$500,000 | 2,000,000.00 | 25 | 500,000.00 |
| | | | |
| Properties Protected (Public) | | | |
| Troperties Frocested (Fuotie) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Business Losses | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Other | | | |
| utilities (6) @ \$2,000 per pole | 12,000.00 | 25 | 3,000.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Г | ⊥ Total Near Term Da | mage Reduction \$ | 1,128,000.00 |
| Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage R | | | • • |

| Completed By: | Brandee Williams | Date: | 03/08/2006 |
|---------------|------------------|-------|------------|
|---------------|------------------|-------|------------|

Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

| | YES | NO | Remarks |
|--|----------|-------|------------|
| Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment? | | < | |
| Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment? | ✓ | | |
| Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment? | ✓ | | |
| Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity) | ✓ | | |
| Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment? | ✓ | | |
| Completed By: Richard McCright | | Date: | 03/08/2006 |

Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

| Group Representation | Number | |
|--|--------|--|
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic | | |
| Asian Female Hispanic | | |
| Asian Female Non-Hispanic | | |
| Asian Male Hispanic | | |
| Asian Male Non-Hispanic | | |
| Black or African American Female Hispanic | | |
| Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic | 3 | |
| Black or African American Male Hispanic | | |
| Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic | 3 | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic | | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic | | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic | | |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic | | |
| White Female Hispanic | | |
| White Female Non-Hispanic | 22 | |
| White Male Hispanic | | |
| White Male Non-Hispanic | 21 | |
| Total Group | 49 | |

| Census tract(s)T040705 BG 2 | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Completed By: Brandee Williams | Date:03/08/2006 |

| DSR NO: | 103-05-183K |
|---------|-------------|
|---------|-------------|

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

CWA permit prior to construction in channel is needed.

Water Quality Certification is needed.

Mitigation Description:

Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods avoiding damage to property . Debris will be disposed of off-site when possible to minimize detriment to fish habitat within stream and disposed off in a approved landfill.

Reseeding of riparian zone will be required after remove to minimize run-off effects in riparian zone.

Removal will be done in consecutive days to minimize impacts to local wildlife.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

LDWF

New Orleans District

Brian Breaux LDEQ

St. Tammany Parish Government

DSR NO: 103-05-183K

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

| Priority Ranking Criteria | Yes | No | | Ranking Number Plus Modifer |
|---|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Is this an exigency situation? | | ✓ | | 2e |
| 2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life? | 7 | | | |
| 3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened? | | | | |
| 4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief? | | | | |
| The following are modifiers for the above criteria | | | Modifier | |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat? | - | | | |
| b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places? | | | | |
| c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland? | | | | |
| d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands? | | | | |
| e. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions? | | | е | |
| f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats? | | | | |

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: 103-05-183K

Section 5A Findings

Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove and dispose debris and large trees from the channel using conventional methods avoiding damage to property .

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

| ✓ Has been sufficiently analyzed | in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply) |
|--|--|
| Chapter <u>5.2.2.1.2</u> | • |
| Chapter | |
| Chapter Chapter | |
| Chapter | |
| | |
| May require the preparation of | an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement |
| The action will be referred to the NR | an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. OS State Office on this date: |
| 11 | / h //w// |
| NRCS representative of the DSR team | irwo / |
| Title: District Conservationist | Date: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Section 5B Comments: | |
| | |
| | |
| Section 5C | Sponsor Concurrence: |
| oction oc | Sponsor Concurrence. |
| | |
| 1 | |
| Sponsor Representative | <u>/</u> |
| Sponsor Representative S.M. G. | |
| ritle: GM-SAF | 5 11.001 |
| Title: | Date: 5-16.06 |
| Section 6 Attachments: | |
| A. Location Map | |
| B. Site Plan or Sketches | |

C. Other (explain)

TOPO MAP OF W-15 CANAL- LATERAL 15A DSR 103-05-183K ST. TAMMANY PARISH



